PAGE 09/13

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 1 3 2007

REMARKS

The present filing is responsive to the Examiner's concerns noted in the Office Action.

Summary of the Response

Claims 1 and 8 have been amended. Claims 2, 3, 9 and 10 have been canceled. New

claims 15 - 21 have been added. Claims 1, 4-8 and 11-21 remain pending in this application.

Reexamination and reconsideration of the present application as amended are respectfully

requested.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC 112

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 1 and 8 have been amended to correct the deficiency noted by the Examiner.

Specifically, claims 1 and 8 have been amended to recite that the driver is supported in electrical

connections to the primary display module and the secondary display modules via the connector.

In the disclosed embodiment, the driver is supported on the connector (e.g., flexible printed

circuit board), in electrical connections to the primary and secondary display modules.

Serial No.: 10/828,761

Docket No.: 1176/209

6

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC 102

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Toba (USPN

6907276). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

On the outset, Applicant notes that the publication date of Toba is later than the effective

filing date of the present invention. Given the traversal of the rejections below, Applicant has

not yet considered the option of "swearing behind" Toba, but reserves the right to do so should it

be necessary at a later date.

Independent claims 1 and 8 have been amended to incorporate the limitations of claims 2

and 3. Claims 1 and 8 as amended recite "a connector electrically connecting the primary

display module and secondary display module, wherein the connector is a flexible printed circuit

board". Toba does not teach using a flexible printed circuit board to electrically connect a

primary display module and a secondary display module. The Examiner conceded to such

deficiency in Toba, as claims 2 and 3 have not been rejected as being anticipated by Toba. In

fact, the Examiner also specifically stated that Toba does not teach the connector is substantially

flexible, and the connector is a flexible printed circuit board. (See, paragraph 6 in the Office

Action.)

Claims 1 and 8, and all the claims dependent therefrom, are therefore not anticipated by

Toba.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC 103

Claims 2-4 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toba

(USPN 6907276) in view of Aoki et al. (USPN 7184010). Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toba (USPN 6907276) in view of Sekura et al. (USPN

7

Serial No.: 10/828,761

Docket No.: 1176/209

6198383). Claim 6-7 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Toba (USPN 6907276) and Jacobsen et al. (USPN 6073034). These rejections are respectfully

traversed.

As noted above, the limitations of original claims 2 and 3 have been incorporated in

independent claim 1 (and similarly in independent claim 8). Independent claims 1 and 8 as

amended require that the connector is a flexible printed circuit, which electrically connects the

primary and secondary display module. Also noted above, the Examiner specifically stated that

Toba does not teach the connector is substantially flexible, and the connector is a flexible printed

circuit board. Aoki does not make up for the deficiencies of Toba. Aoki likewise does not teach

the use of a flexible printed circuit board to electrically connect a primary display module and a

secondary display module. Aoki merely discloses that a flexible printed circuit board may be

connected to a side of a liquid crystal display panel. Consequently, even if Aoki can somehow

be combined with Toba, such combination would not obtain the present invention as defined in

original claims 3 and 10, now independent claims 1 and 8. Accordingly, remaining claims 2, 4, 9

and 11 dependent from claims 1 and 8 are likewise patentable over the combination of Toba and

Aoki.

There is no teaching, suggestion, motivation, or any apparent reason to combine Toba

and Aoki in the first place. Toba specifically require switches, in addition to drivers, which

switches are provided between two displays in order to selectively control the displays. There is

no indication anywhere in Toba and Aoki, how a flexible printed circuit board may connect the

displays to achieve the intended purpose in Toba, or for any other purpose for that matter.

Serial No.: 10/828,761 Docket No.: 1176/209

8

Given the amendments to claims 1 and 8 merely incorporated the limitations of original claims 3 and 10, should the Examiner reject the claims as amended based on a new ground of

rejection in the next action, such next action should not be made final.

New Claims

New claims 15-21 have been added to round out the coverage of the patentable aspects of

the present invention. Newly added independent claim 15 recites a connector electrically

connecting the primary display module and secondary display module, wherein the connector is

independent of any switches.

Applicant respectfully submits that new claims 15-21 are patentable over the references

of record, including Toba and Aoki. Toba provide switches in the connection between two

displays. There is no apparent reason to combine Aoki to Toba since there is no justifiable

reason to replace the switchless connector in claim 15 replaced by a flexible printed circuit board

to electrically connect two displays.

CONCLUSION

In view of all the foregoing, Applicant submits that the claims pending in this application

are patentable over the references of record and are in condition for allowance. Such action at an

early date is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned

representative to discuss any outstanding issues that may not have been adequately

addressed in this response.

Serial No.: 10/828,761

Docket No.: 1176/209

Dated: July 13, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Wen Liu

Registration No. 32,822

Email: wliu@liulaw.com

LIU & LIU

444 S. Flower Street; Suite 1750 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 830-5743 Facsimile: (213) 830-5741

10

Scrial No.: 10/828,761 Docket No.: 1176/209